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ABSTRACT

In recent years, the emerging immersive technologies (e.g. Virtual/Augmented Reality, multisensorial media) bring brand-new multi-dimensional effects such as 3D vision, immersion, vibration, smell, airflow, etc. to gaming, video entertainment and other aspects of human life. This paper reports results from an European Horizon 2020 research project on the impact of multisensorial media (mulsemedia) on educational learner experience. A mulsemedia-enhanced test-bed was developed to perform delivery of video content enhanced with haptic, olfaction and airflow effects. The results of the quality rating and questionnaires show significant improvements in terms of mulsemedia-enhanced teaching.

CCS CONCEPTS

• General and reference → Experimentation; • Information systems → Multimedia information systems; • Human-centered computing → Interaction devices; Interaction techniques; Interaction paradigms; • Applied computing → E-learning;
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1 INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth and development of information and communication technologies have determined fast evolution of technology enhanced learning (TEL), evolution which is likely to continue. Many reports and surveys have shown that TEL in general and mobile learning in particular are not showing signs of regression after the fast growth registered in the last decade [8]. On the contrary, an increasing number of individuals, corporations, and institutions are showing interest in TEL, mostly due to its effectiveness and market potential: for instance, the learning management systems (LMS) market was worth $2.55 billion in 2013 and it is expected to be worth over $7 billion in 2018[1]. Although many advancements have been noted in the context of TEL, there are many avenues for additional improvement.

The study in this paper is funded by a European Horizon 2020 NEWTON project that brings together academia and industry partners from several different European countries. NEWTON project aims to provide a pan-European learning platform that facilitates the delivery of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) subjects to learners from a variety of backgrounds: secondary and vocational schools, third level education, people with disabilities. This pan-European platform will integrate a set of distributed labs: remote labs enhanced with fabrication technologies (i.e. Fab Labs2) and state-of-the-art remote teaching labs – virtual labs –, created as result of the project. The aim of this platform is to go beyond the classic functionality of LMS by connecting the students with various Fab Labs and virtual labs to allow access to an increased volume of learning content and also to improve their learning experience. Additionally the platform aims to enhance the classic LMS functionality with innovative TEL solutions. The purpose of these solutions is to both increase user/learner quality of experience (QoE) and their learning outcome. The innovative TEL methods that are developed in the context of this project relate to:

• Learner model-based personalisation
• Gamification
• Multimedia and multi-sensorial (mulsemedia) content delivery. Mulsemedia is considered a new type of multimedia that unlike classic multimedia that usually involves two senses (audio/video), involves three or more human senses (olfactory, haptic, etc.).

2https://www.fablabs.io/
• Adaptation of content delivery to learner operational environment: variation of network conditions, user device characteristics and user profile.

The focus in this paper is on the mulsemedia component, and NEWTON project aims to provide the learners with increased mulsemedia experience in order to enhance their overall learning experience. Additionally the multiple-sensorial content will be delivered in an adaptive manner depending on the learner operational characteristics and learner profile. To the best of authors knowledge mulsemedia has not been employed in TEL before. The idea of using mulsemedia as a TEL method is based on research studies in neuroscience that suggest as a best practice in learning the engagement of multiple senses (i.e. as many senses as possible). The neuroscience researchers argue that learning can be deeper, richer, more memorable (i.e. increased learner and learning experience) and more effective an experience when multiple senses are involved [4]. In [10], the importance of the multisensorial exposure in learning is also highlighted. The authors emphasize on the fact that people have a multisensory brain that has evolved to develop, learn and operate in a multisensory environment. Therefore, a multisensory-based learning setting is natural for the human brain, will enhance the brain functions and consequently is more suitable for the learning process as compared to any uni-sensorial learning setting.

This paper makes the first steps in the analysis of whether mulsemedia can enhance learner QoE and how open learners are to use mulsemedia in their learning process. The structure of the paper is as follows: Section II presents a review of different TEL methodologies, stating their impact in learner QoE, section III presents the mulsemedia-enhanced teaching experiments performed in the context of our analysis on mulsemedia impact on learner QoE. Section IV discusses the results of the tests performed, while last section draws the conclusions.

2 RELATED WORKS

With the growing interest on eLearning in general and mobile learning in particular, very much effort has been invested in the TEL area. Various technologies have been put to use to enhance learning such as Augmented Reality (AR)/Virtual Reality (VR) 3, game-based learning and gamification [2] [3] and adaptive techniques applied to the content in order to suit learner’s context. Some solutions started to integrate more than just one such technology as it is the case of the work presented in [2] where game-based learning is combined with an adaptive solution that takes into account the energy factor, as the proposed solution is dedicated to any uni-sensorial learning setting. The work presented in [14] shows for instance how a content adaptation driven by device characteristics can lead to an optimal trade-off between QoE and energy savings, highly required in mobile learning. Other very recent studies [9] are focusing on the aspect of balancing between content adaptation and learner QoE with the aim of maximizing the later.

Other works in the literature aim to build appropriate models for predicting the learner QoE when TEL is employed. Such work is for instance the one presented in [12] that introduces a fuzzy logic-based predictive system for estimating learner QoE levels. The system considers both subjective (e.g. learning style) and objective factors (e.g. network conditions).

Moreover, standardization efforts have been put in this area. ITU (International Telecommunication Union) has ongoing standardization activities in the area of TEL that relate to the topics presented in Fig.1. In this context, worth mentioning is Recommendation ITU-T F.742 4 which describes application scenarios of distance learning and deduces general requirements to be met by distance learning services. Other standardization bodies are performing similar activities: e.g. ISO/IEC JTC1 Subcommittee 36 Information technology for learning, education and training5 or The IEEE Learning Technology Standards Committee (LTSC) 6. LTSC committee is developing a standard model for defining AR-based learning activities (i.e. Augmented Reality Learning Experience Model). A very recent work [11] introduces a novel standardization proposal in the area of TEL. This consists of a framework aiming to evaluate learner QoE when subject to TEL.

3 MULSEMEDIA-ENHANCED TEACHING EXPERIMENTS

3.1 Mulsemedia-enhanced Test-bed

In order to study the effect of mulsemedia in reality, a mulsemedia-enhanced test-bed was developed for the mulsemedia-enhanced teaching experiments. As shown in Fig. 2, the test-bed consists of two subsystems: the Mulsemedia Player and the Mulsemedia Device Controller:

Figure 1: Items addressed by TEL-related standards in ITU[1]
Mulsemedia Player was developed based on the VideoLan player (VLC Nightly Build 3.0 and higher)\(^7\), which performs 2D/3D video streaming, decoding and playback. In the testbed, the mulsemedia player decodes the testing videos with the mulsemedia-enhanced time-stamped scripts, and then synchronizes the time-stamped information with the Mulsemedia Device Controller. The time-stamped script involves the type, duration (i.e. time of start and time of end), intensity and speed of the specific mulsemedia effect.

Mulsemedia Device Controller which was written in C++ and C# controls the multisensorial devices by retrieving the time-stamped scripts of the mulsemedia-enhanced videos. In these mulsemedia-enhanced teaching experiments, the Mulsemedia Device Controller works with a haptic device, airflow generator, olfaction diffuser and headphone (i.e. see the numbered equipment in Fig. 2):

1. **Olfaction Diffuser**: It is made by Exhalia\(^8\) and includes four aroma cartridges which are diffused by small rear controllable fans. The Mulsemedia Controller can adjust the intensity and duration of functionality for each small fan.
2. **Airflow Generator**: It is developed based on a computer case fan (12V) and controlled by the Arduino board with different intensities, fan speeds and durations.
3. **Haptic Device**: It is a modified version of the Logitech iFeel Mouse\(^9\), and its vibrating type, intensity and duration are programmable by the Mulsemedia Controller using C++ code.
4. **Headphone**: The Sony ZX310 on-ear headphone (see item No.4 in Fig. 2)\(^10\) is used to obtain high quality audio effects without noise during the testing.

3.2 Mulsemedia-enhanced Video Encoding

In the experiments, 7 (seven) short video clips are selected from each of the movies *Jurassic Park* and *Back to the Future*. The video formats and the decoded time-stamped effect information of the selected video clips are shown in Table 1. The selected video clips use the 2500kbps H.264/MPEG4 baseline profile and 30fps@720p, and four different mulsemedia effect scenarios are associated with these 7 video clips, as follows:

- **Haptic-Only Scenario** (i.e. 2d\_h\_bf): this scenario performs the haptic effect only associated with running persons and gunfight.
- **Olfaction-Only Scenario** (i.e. 2d\_o\_jp): this scenario is associated with an outstanding aroma coming from food.
- **Airflow-Only Scenario** (i.e. 2d\_w\_bf): this scenario includes wind effect only.
- **Mixture-Effect Scenarios** (i.e. the rest of the clips): haptic, airflow and olfaction effects are mixed in these complex scenarios which include high-mobility vehicles and gunfights.

3.3 Mulsemedia-enhanced Testing Procedure

In the mulsemedia-enhanced teaching experiments, an unique user ID is allocated to each anonymous participant (see item No.6 in Fig. 2), and the testing procedure consists of two parts:

---

\(^7\)http://www.videolan.org/vlc/index.html
\(^8\)http://www.exhalia.com/fr/
\(^9\)https://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/asin/B00005ASNK/iFeelpixel-20
\(^10\)https://www.sony.ie/electronics/headband-headphones/mdr-zx310-zx310ap
Table 1: Testing Video Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video Clips</th>
<th>2d_h_bbf</th>
<th>2d_o_h_bbf</th>
<th>2d_o_jp</th>
<th>2d_o_w_jp</th>
<th>2d_w_bbf</th>
<th>2d_w_h_b_h_w_bbf</th>
<th>2d_w_h_o_w_h_w_bbf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movie Sources</td>
<td>Back to the Future</td>
<td>Back to the Future</td>
<td>Jurassic Park</td>
<td>Jurassic Park</td>
<td>Back to the Future</td>
<td>Back to the Future</td>
<td>Back to the Future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video Format</td>
<td>H.264/MPEG-4 AVC Baseline Profile, Duration=20sec, Resolution=1280×720, Bitrate=2500kbps, FrameRate=30fps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario Descriptions</td>
<td>Running persons in the gunfight scenario</td>
<td>Standing person is shot by guns in the garage</td>
<td>Children are eating food</td>
<td>Coast view in the wind</td>
<td>Person in the high wind</td>
<td>Gunfight and the high-speed vehicles</td>
<td>Gunfight and the high-speed vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulsemedia Effects</td>
<td>Haptic</td>
<td>Haptic, Olfaction</td>
<td>Olfaction</td>
<td>Airflow, Olfaction</td>
<td>Airflow</td>
<td>Airflow, Haptic</td>
<td>Airflow, Haptic, Olfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olfaction Aroma</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Burnt Tyre</td>
<td>Tasty Colori</td>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Video Testing and Rating Procedure

- **Video Testing and Rating:** In this part, the procedure is divided into two sections, as shown in Fig.3: Mulsemedia-disabled Testing Section and Mulsemedia-enabled Testing Section. Following the instruction in Fig. 3, the participant inputs the user ID, and watches the clips while feeling the effects performed by the mulsemedia equipment. Finally the learner takes a break to answer the user perception related questions.

- **Overall Questionnaire:** After the video testing and experience quality rating, the participant is requested to answer a few questions which are related to the overall perception quality and learning outcome impacts for the whole mulsemedia-enhanced test-bed.

3.4 Mulsemedia-enhanced Testing Venues and Participants

The first studies based on mulsemedia enhanced lessons were carried out in two universities from two different European countries with different languages and cultural backgrounds, namely University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Spain and Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland. The studies targeted master students attending the “Performance of Data Networks” in DCU and “Performance on Telecommunications Networks” course in UPV/EHU, respectively. The same lecture was delivered to both groups of students, with the only difference being that in UPV/EHU the lesson was delivered in Spanish, while in DCU it was delivered in English. A part of the lesson was delivered using traditional methodologies (i.e. power point) and the other part was delivered using mulsemedia support, respectively. In final, all the results of the experiments were collected, processed and discussed. This discussion is detailed in the next section.

4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The main goals of the study were to measure the following aspects:

- The influence of mulsemedia on increasing learner QoE
- The level of acceptance of mulsemedia as a TEL technique.

4.1 Video User Perception Analysis: Mulsemedia-enabled vs. Mulsemedia-disabled

![Figure 4: Video Quality Rating](image)

Since many existing works have shown that the video quality affects the user perceived experience in the teleconferencing and the distance education [5, 13], the overall video user perception (QoE) results for 42 students from two difference universities (i.e. DCU and UPV/EHU) are discussed in this subsection.

In Fig 4, 14% more participants considered the mulsemedia-enabled video as “Good” and “Excellent”, in comparison with those exposed to multimedia content only. 9% and 6% fewer participants...
graded their experience in terms of “Fair” and “Poor” on the same five point rating scale and finally, around 1% more learners did not like mulsemedia-enabled video clips in comparison with the mulsemedia-enhanced approach.

Similarly, as shown in Fig.5, 19% more participants found enjoyable or very enjoyable their experience during the mulsemedia-enabled video tests, and consequently the proportions of “Neutral”, “Disagree” and “Strong Disagree” learners have decreased accordingly.

4.2 Educational Learner Experience Analysis: DCU vs. UPV/EHU

In order to study the educational learner experience in the overall mulsemedia-enhanced tests, a summary of the questionnaire results are presented below.

In DCU tests, all the enrolled master students to the aforementioned module participated. In total, there were 20 participants. There was a similar situation in UPV/EHU, where a total of 22 students participated in the pilot study. The answers of the participants to the questions presented to them in the questionnaire are briefly summarized below. Note that the following five point scale was used in these questions: "strongly disagree", "disagree", "neutral", "agree" and "strongly agree".

**Question 1:** I enjoyed the multisensorial experience during the class; In case of DCU students, 85% agreed and strongly agreed on this, and the rest were neutral, while in case of UPV/EHU students 86.4% agreed and strongly agreed on this, and the rest were neutral, as shown in Fig. 6. There was no disagreement or strongly disagreement on this matter amongst the students from both the two universities, as shown in Fig. 6.

**Question 2:** The multi-sensorial experience did not improve my learning experience; In case of DCU students, 35% agreed and strongly agreed, 25% were neutral and the rest of 40% disagreed, as shown in Fig. 7. In case of UPV/EHU students, 13.6% agreed, 18.2% were neutral and the rest of 68.2% disagreed, as shown in Fig. 7.

**Question 3:** The multi-sensorial effects were disturbing for me during the class; In case of DCU students, 25% agreed on this aspect, 25% were neutral and the rest of 50% disagreed and strongly disagreed, as shown in Fig. 8. The students from UPV/EHU were not disturbed at all by the multisensorial effects as 36.4% strongly disagreed on this matter, 45.4% disagreed, and the rest of 18.2% were neutral, as shown in Fig. 8.

**Question 4:** I would like to have more classes/labs/courses that include multi-sensorial experience; In case of DCU students, 75% agreed and strongly agreed, while 5% were neutral and 20% disagreed, as shown in Fig. 9. In case of UPV/EHU students, 77.3% agreed and strongly agreed, while 18.2% were neutral and 4.5% disagreed, as shown in Fig. 9.

The results show that the vast majority of the students have enjoyed the mulsemedia experience during the class. Note none of the students gave a negative feedback regarding this aspect (see Question 1), although some students from DCU declared they were disturbed by the multi-sensorial effects (i.e. Question 3). In addition, the majority of the students said that mulsemedia improved their
learning experience (Question 2) in general. Taking into consideration these answers and all the above-mentioned, aspects we are entitled to conclude that mulsemedia as a TEL technology can lead to an improvement in learner QoE.

The above considerations, but especially the answers to Question 4 show that the students are very open to mulsemedia as a TEL technology; the vast majority of them being eager to participate in more mulsemedia enhanced teaching sessions.

5 CONCLUSION

This paper aimed to perform the first steps in analyzing the impact of mulsemedia as a TEL methodology in general and impact of mulsemedia on learner QoE in particular. A mulsemedia-enhanced teaching test-bed was developed to perform different multisensory effects (i.e. haptic, olfaction and airflow) while the audience is watching video clips. The mulsemedia-enhanced teaching experiments were carried out in two different European countries with different languages and cultures, DCU and UPV/EHU, Ireland and Spain, respectively.

Master students who subscribed to similar courses were placed in the same teaching context and were targeted with identical content in this study. The results of video perception rating and user satisfaction questionnaires were collected and processed. The results demonstrate that mulsemedia-enabled video has better enjoyment and majority of the students are very open to mulsemedia as a TEL technology, as it increases their learner experience. In the future, more learning courses and pilots will be considered and evaluated for more participants across different European countries in the context of this EU funded project.
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